

# Liver incidentaloma's

- Radiologically initiated problem
- Often defensive reading
  - “Aspecific lesion”
  - “Could be a, but b/c/d can't be excluded”
- **Unnecessary unrest/exams/follow-up**
  - “Spot on liver; innocent, but does require follow-up”

Maarten van Leeuwen,  
UMCU, Utrecht

# Liver incidentaloma's

1. Clinical Significance small hypodens liverlesion(s) on CT
  - No known primary tumor
  - Oncology patient
2. Characterization hypervascular lesions
- 3.

# TSTC lesions

Hypodens, too small to characterize (< 1,5cm)

- **No certain cyst**
  - Sharply demarcated, water density
- **No certain hemangioma**
  - slow, peripheral nodular enhancement
- **No certain malignant lesion**
  - Inhomogenous, unsharp margin, peripheral enhancement

**TSTC = Subjective definition**

# Literature TSTC

- Jones: 1992<sup>AJR</sup>
  - 1454 pt with CT-Abdomen
    - 254 (17%) TSTC lesions
      - 45 pt no primary tumor: never malignant
      - 209 pt with primary tumor
        - » 86 with 1 lesions: 5% malignant
        - » 74 with 2-4 lesions: 19% malignant
        - » 49 with > 5 lesions: 76% malignant

# Literature TSTC

- Schwartz: 1999<sup>Radiology</sup>
  - 2978 cancer pt with CT–Abdomen
    - 12% TSTC lesions
      - 12% malignant
        - » Lymphoma: 4 %
        - » Colorectal: 14%
        - » Breast: 22%

## Conclusion:

- Correlate with tumor metastasizing pattern

# Literature TSTC

- Robinson: 2003<sup>BJR (8–10 mm contiguous)</sup>
  - 115 pt with cancer
    - PPV size and border

	benign	
	unsharp	sharp
• <5 mm	90%	94%
• 5–10 mm	71%	81%
• 10–15 mm	62%	71%

Ratio: small metastases have no central necrosis and therefore can go undetected

# Literature TSTC

- **Krakora: 2004** Radiology (7 mm spiral or 5 mm contiguous)
  - 153 pt breast ca, 43 developed metastases
  - PPV of TSTC for development metastases ?
    - 54 pt: 1 or more TSTC                      FU: 28% mets
    - 99 pt: no TSTC                                      FU: 28% mets

## Conclusion:

- TSTC lesions: no PPV for development metastases
- Don't say: "Mets can't be excluded" "

# Literature TSTC

- **Khalil 2005** Radiology (7.5 mm spiral or 10 mm contiguous)
  - 941 breast ca pt and no clear mets on CT
    - 29%  $\geq$  1 TSTC
      - 95% Benign

## Conclusion:

- In principle: no further imaging or biopsy



# Conclusion TSTC lesions

- Patient without known tumor: benign
- Oncology patient:
  - Solitary: benign
  - Multiple:
    - mostly benign
    - small, sharp, hypodens: benign characteristics
    - Breast ca; no PPV for development mets
  - Don't say: "Mets can't be excluded"
  - Standard onco FU; no additional imaging

# Incidental hypervascular lesions

- Prevent unnecessary diagnostic tests and treatment
  - Hemangioma
  - FNH
  - Small adenoma's (< 5 cm)
    - Follow-up
- Prevent delay in diagnosis and treatment
  - Larger adenoma's (> 5 cm)
  - HCC
  - Hypervascular metastases

# Incidence hypervascular lesions (post-mortem)

- **Karhunen (n=50)**

- Hemangioma 20%
- FNH 3%
- Adenoma 1%

Karhunen; J Clin Path 1985

- **AFIP (n=9000)**

- FNH: adenoma 8:1
- HCC 0,1-1%

Craig; Atlas of tumor pathology; 1988

# Hypervascular lesions

- Hemangioma

- Slowly perfused vascular space

- > 1 cm: peripheral, nodular enhancement of arterial density; slow centripetal spread
    - < 1 cm: may have direct homogeneous enhancement

- FNH, Adenoma, HCC, hypervascular mets

- Capillary blush

- Entire lesion, (in)homogenous, synchronous with, but < aorta.
    - Differences in morphology, composition and enhancement

# Solid hypervascular lesions

- FNH
- Adenoma
- HCC
- Hypervascular mets
  
- Differences in:
  - HU/SI, (in)homogeneity
  - Presence capsule, scar, Ca<sup>++</sup> or fat

# Fibrolamellar HCC

- **Clinical signs**
  - Normal liver, normal  $\alpha$ FP, reasonable prognosis
- **Difference with FNH**
  - Inhomogeneous
  - Large ( $> 5$  cm)
  - $\text{Ca}^{++}$  (70–95%)
  - Coarse central scar ( $> 2$  cm), T2 low signal
  - Lymphnode mets (65%)

# Other hypervascular lesions

(“non-FNH lesions”)

- **Adenoma**

- (Old) hemorrhage
- Fat
- Moderately hypervascular (<FNH)
- Rim or border
- Peliosis (hyperintense on T1)

- **HCC**

- Cirrhosis/fibrosis

- **Hypervascular metastases**

- Primary tumor
- Multiple, some hypovascular
- Inhomogeneous

# Solid hypervascular lesions 1

- FNH (requires high specificity!)
  - Round or lobulated, homogenous
  - Thin scar, septae. Below 2 cm often no scar !
  - No border
  - T1: iso/hypo; T2: iso/hyper
  - Arterial hyper-; portal, late isodens; “stealth lesion”
  - Scar: T1 hypo; T2 hyper; late enhancement
  - No Ca<sup>++</sup>, T1 hyper, inhomogeneous, capsule



# Solid hypervascular lesions 2

- **Adenoma**

- Hemorrhage, fat
- Slightly hypervascularity (<FNH)
- Border
- T1 hyper/iso/hypo; T2 hypo/iso/hyper
- > 3 cm: inhomogeneous

- **HCC**

- In presence of cirrhosis or fibrose
- May be hypo and hypervascular
- Often wash-out in portal and or equilibrium phase
- Tumor trombus and arterio-portal shunting

# Conclusion incidentaloma's

”Not vague and defensive, but clear and directive”

- TSTC lesions: in principle benign
- Hypervascular lesions frequently can be characterized
  - Majority: no further tests or treatment
    - Hemangioma
      - Atypical hemangioma: MR>CT
    - FNH
      - Atypical FNH: MR>CT
  - Minority: treatment, follow-up or further examinations
    - Adenoma: MR>CT
    - HCC, FLHCC
    - Hypervascular metastases
    - Remaining “atypical hypervascular lesions”: liver-specific MR?

# Diagnosing liver lesions; which modality?

- **Screening Abdomen incl Liver**
  - Ultrasound
  - CT abdomen (cave hypervascular lesions)
- **Chronic liver disease; HCC ?**
  - Low index of suspicion: ultrasound
  - High index of suspicion: multi-phase CT/MRI
- **Pre-operative colorectal metastases**
  - Multi-phase CT abdomen (& CT thorax?)
- **Characterizing incidentaloma**
  - Young female, no cancer: multi-phase MRI
  - Older pts, cancer: multi-phase CT (+Abdomen)